

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/449,077	11/24/1999	Dr. Thomas Hunig	12254/	5838	
	7590 03/14/2003				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			EXAMINER		
SUITE 1400 ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ROARK, JESSICA H 23		
·			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		
	DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.j			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/449,077	HUNIG, DR. THOMAS	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examin r.	Art Unit	
	Jessica H. Roark	1644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	<del></del>
l —: := -	APPLICATION IN CONDITION about the second abandonment of the control of the condition and the conditio	ON FOR ALLOWANCE. is application. A proper reply to a	a
	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the m	nailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of to no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponent ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY (706.07(f).	WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT	the mailing date of the final rejection. THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See N	MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	of the shortened statutory period	iding amount of the fee. The appropriate	e extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>27 February 2008</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	JFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disi	e filed within the period set forth in missal of the appeal.	n
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	d because:	жиз а <b>рроз</b> и	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fur	rther consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTF helow):	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n in better form for appeal l	by materially reducing or simplifyi	ng the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		is a community reposited ordinas.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ection(s):		*
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ald be allowable if submitted	l in a separate, timely filed amend	dment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: §	see Continuation Sheet.		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SO	LELY to issues which were newly	y
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be entere would be rejected is provide	ed or b) will be entered and an	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	s:	та принаса.	
Claim(s) allowed:		PHILLIP CAMPS	
Claim(s) objected to:		"""LEIF GANIBEL PH D	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,13-15 and 24</u> .		PHIMARY EXAMINER	_
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-12,16-2	3 and 25-40	They congressed	>
B.⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 Marc</u>	ch 2003 is a)⊠ approved a	3/13/63	
P. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO 1440) Description	יי שונים uisappi oved by the Exan	niner.
	-пцэд г тО-1449) Paper N	υ(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: \_\_\_\_

ø Ø

ď

j

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Minimally, the addition of the limitation in claim 1 requiring that the antibody activate without being artificially crosslinked with a secondary antibody requires further search and consideration, and also raises the issue of New Matter.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: since the proposed amendment has not been entered the claims stand rejected for the reasons of record.